Case Report

Rapid canine retraction in knife edge alveolar ridge by distraction of periodontal ligament with alveolar ridge expansion- A case report
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ABSTRACT

This article describes a case report of a patient with knife edge alveolar ridge where orthodontic tooth movement was previously impossible into which rapid canine retraction for 8mm was done by use of a new technique of combining ridge split expansion with piezocision and thereby producing a regional acceleratory phenomenon and rapid canine retraction by custom made tooth borne distraction device.
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1. Introduction

In the late 1990’s Wilcko brothers, developed the Accelerated Osteogenic Orthodontics (AOO) procedure and claimed that the orthodontic treatment time could be reduced by 75% in the majority of orthodontic cases by accelerating orthodontic tooth movement. In 2009 Dibart et al. described a minimally invasive procedure Piezocision, which combines micro-incisions limited to the buccal gingiva that allow the use of an apiezolectric knife to give osseous cuts to the buccal cortex and initiate the regional acceleratory phenomenon (RAP).

Wilcko et al.3, claimed that accelerated tooth movement after corticotomy is a result of a physiological response of the bone, known as the regional acceleratory phenomenon, which is induced by bone damage. Sebaoun et al, suggested that alveolar decortication induces rapid and localized bone turnover and may be the main reason for accelerated tooth movement. Liou and Huang4 suggested that rapid canine retraction could be achieved by distracting-the periodontal ligament and undermining the interseptal bone by bending the interseptal bone distal to the canine and move the canine into the first-premolar extraction site.

This article describes a patient treated with custom-made, rigid, segmental tooth-borne distraction device used to retract the canine by distraction of the periodontal ligament into premolar extracted site with knife edge alveolar ridge after ridge expansion with piezocision.

2. Diagnosis and Treatment Planning

A 25-year-old male presented with the complaint of crowded upper front teeth. On extra oral examination he exhibited a convex profile with prognathic maxilla (Figure 1). Intra oral examination revealed a class I canine relation, crowded upper and lower anterior teeth. There were missing teeth in relation to 24, 25, 26 region with a history of extraction before 3 years due to which there was a knife edge alveolar ridge in that region (Figure 1). Cephalometric analysis indicated that patient had a skeletal class II relationship with maxillary prognathism, low mandibular plane angle and proclination of the lower incisors (Table 1).

TREATMENT PLAN

1. Preparation
2. Ridge expansion
3. Piezocision
4. Canine retraction
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Fig. 1: Pretreatment record of patient

Fig. 2: Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images of patient

Fig. 3: Custom made distractor

Fig. 4: a, b, c – Piezocision technique for undermining atropic bone rudge distal to canine thick red lines represent cuts and yellow region shows expansion

Fig. 5: After ridge expansion and distractor
1. First retraction of 23 into 24 region  
2. After, 23 retraction, extraction of other sides first premolars and start fixed appliance therapy to correct crowding.  
3. Finally, after fixed appliance therapy, replacement of 25 and 26 was planned

Taking into consideration the anchorage demands, long span knife edge edentulous space in the second quadrant, we proposed the use of a distraction device to rapidly retract the left upper canine to the first premolar region after ridge expansion.
Table 1: Cephalometric variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Pre treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNA</td>
<td>82 ± 2 (^{\circ})</td>
<td>89.2 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB</td>
<td>2 ± 2 (^{\circ})</td>
<td>6.8 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits appraisal</td>
<td>6.7 mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-SN</td>
<td>70 ± 5</td>
<td>109.1 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-MP</td>
<td>90 ± 3</td>
<td>100.9 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1-L1</td>
<td>135 (^{\circ})</td>
<td>125.1 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-MP</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19.5 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-ANS</td>
<td>54 ± 3 mm</td>
<td>45.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANS-Gn</td>
<td>69 ± 4 mm</td>
<td>55.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial-height ratio</td>
<td>62-65%</td>
<td>70.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-APo</td>
<td>22 ± 4 (^{\circ})</td>
<td>22.9 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 to E-line</td>
<td>-2 to +2 mm</td>
<td>2.8 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA</td>
<td>25 (^{\circ})</td>
<td>21.3 (^{\circ})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Treatment outcome

The canine was distracted and brought in to first premolar position, there were no visible color changes in the distracted as well as anchor teeth. The canine has tipped slightly after distraction. Although there was no bodily molar movement, there was slight tipping was seen (Figure 8). After 6 months the appliance was removed (Figure 9) and patient advised for further treatment with fixed appliance therapy. In post-treatment OPG parallelism of roots was almost achieved. (Figure 10)

3. Discussion

In this case, we have achieved rapid canine distraction through distraction of periodontal ligament along with ridge expansion. The lack of ridge preservation after extraction will lead to significant ridge atrophy, as such in our case. In this clinical case, there was an absence of both left maxillary premolars and the first permanent molar, which was utilized by moving the upper left canine to the interdental region between lower premolars. We have used piezoelectric surgical method for decortication because they are minimally invasive surgical techniques that offer less patient pain and acceptance. This procedure is like intentional fracture which produces a regional acceleratory phenomenon that speeds up the bone remodelling process.

One animal study found that distraction of the periodontal ligament stimulated the expression of collagen I, collagen III, and matrix metalloproteinase-1 and thus promoted rebuilding of the ligament. In our patient, the upper canine’s periodontal ligaments were distracted by about 8 mm in four weeks. Kharkar and colleagues preferred dentoalveolar distraction to periodontal distraction for rapid canine retraction because it avoided canine tipping. In our patient the canine and molar tipping was avoided by placement of powerchain on the lingual side between canines and first molars. The distracted canines showed grade 1 mobility indicating tearing of periodontal ligament as demonstrated by Feng et al.

4. Conclusion

This method of combining ridge expansion with use of distraction done was effective in moving the canine through atrophic ridges. Though the custom-made distractor was initially unesthetic and causes short term problems such as discomfort and chewing difficulty, the canine movement was rapid and effective. Hence, this technique can be effectively used in patients with knife-edge alveolar ridge who were difficult to treat previously with orthodontic treatment alone.
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